

EXHIBIT C

PUBLIC VERSION

Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 57
Date: May 11, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00165
Patent 9,218,156 B2

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, STACEY G. WHITE, and JASON M. REPKO,
Administrative Patent Judges.

PER CURIAM.

JUDGMENT
Final Written Decision
Determining Some Challenged Claims Unpatentable
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)
Dismissing Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude
37 C.F.R. § 42.64
Granting Patent Owner's and Petitioner's Motions to Seal
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14, 42.54

PUBLIC VERSION

IPR2021-00165
Patent 9,218,156 B2

Owner relies on the disclosures of Dockser and MacMillan themselves, expert testimony from Dr. Khatri (which we credit on the issue of reasons to combine Dockser and MacMillan), and cross-examination testimony from Mr. Goodin. *See* PO Resp. 36–37; Sur-Reply 14–15; Ex. 2051 ¶¶ 101–104.

For the reasons explained above, we are not persuaded that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dockser and MacMillan in the manner asserted by Petitioner.⁸ Accordingly, Petitioner has not shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claim 3 would have been obvious based on Dockser and MacMillan under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

3. *Claims 4–8*

Claims 4–8 depend from claim 3. Accordingly, Petitioner has not shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 4–8 would have been obvious based on Dockser and MacMillan under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

4. *Claims 1, 2, and 16*

As explained above, we conclude that claims 1, 2, and 16 are unpatentable over Dockser, and over Dockser and Tong, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). *See supra* Sections II.D–E. As such, we need not address

⁸ Given this determination, we need not address Patent Owner’s argument that the references fail to teach the “exceeds” limitation of claim 3 because the alleged “LPHDR execution units” (i.e., the Dockser FPPs in the PEs) are “adapted to execute at least the operation of multiplication on floating point numbers that are at least 32 bits wide” (in addition to executing at reduced precision) and thus “the number of LPHDR execution units will never exceed the number of claim 3 full-precision multiplication execution units,” as required by the claim. *See* PO Resp. 28–36; Reply 12–15; Sur-Reply 10–14.